



Official Ballot for 2026 General Election
La Plata County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 3, 2026

Precinct: 3065934028 - 2
Ballot Style: 028-02

Tiffany Lee
Clerk and Recorder



To **vote** for a named candidate, completely fill in the oval to the right of your choice. Use blue or black ink.



To **vote for an eligible write-in candidate**, completely fill in the oval to the right of the write-in line and print the name of the candidate on the line. If a race does not contain a write-in line, you cannot vote for a write-in candidate.



To **make a correction** in a race with more than one candidate, draw a bold line through the oval and candidate name marked by mistake, then fill in the oval next to the correct name. To withdraw a vote for the only candidate in a race, you must request a replacement ballot.

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices	State Offices	Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)
United States Senator (Vote for One)	Attorney General (Vote for One)	Colorado Court of Appeals Judge
Republican Mark Baisley ☐	Republican Michael J. Allen ☐	Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐
Democratic John Hickenlooper ☐	Democratic Jena Griswold ☐	Shall Judge Katharine E. Lum of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐
Forward Bob Chew ☐	State Board of Education Member - Congressional District 3 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Pax Moultrie of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐
Approval Voting Christopher Baum ☐	Republican Sherri M Wright ☐	Shall Judge Karl L. Schock of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐
Libertarian Blake Huber ☐	Democratic Barb Clementi ☐	Shall Judge Grant Sullivan of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐
Unity Adam Withrow ☐	State Representative - District 59 (Vote for One)	Ballot Measures
Write-in ☐	Democratic Katie Stewart ☐	Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.
Representative to the 120th United States Congress - District 3 (Vote for One)	Republican Naomi Riess ☐	State Ballot Measures
Democratic Dwayne L. Romero ☐	La Plata County Offices	Amendment 81 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Republican Jeff Hurd ☐	County Commissioner District 1 (Vote for One)	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution requiring law enforcement to notify the department of homeland security when a person is charged with either a violent crime or any crime if the person has a prior felony conviction if law enforcement cannot determine that the person is lawfully present in the United States? YES / FOR ☐ NO / AGAINST ☐
Libertarian Cory Robertson ☐	Democratic Elizabeth Philbrick ☐	Amendment 82 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Unaffiliated Clifton Brown ☐	County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution creating new law granting the right for consumers to purchase natural gas for cooking or heating in homes or businesses and for distributors and utilities to sell natural gas to consumers? YES / FOR ☐ NO / AGAINST ☐
State Offices	Unaffiliated Tiffany Lee ☐	Amendment 83 (CONSTITUTIONAL)
Governor / Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)	County Treasurer (Vote for One)	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution creating a constitutional right to hunt, fish, and harvest fish and wildlife by traditional methods, including all species of fish and wildlife managed by the state except non-game species, endangered species, or any species that is illegal to hunt under federal law, and, in connection therewith, establishing hunting and fishing as the preferred means of managing fish and wildlife populations; and preserving the right of the state to regulate hunting, fishing, and wildlife management if necessary for sound scientific wildlife conservation and management, public safety, or to preserve the future of hunting and fishing opportunities for all species? YES / FOR ☐ NO / AGAINST ☐
Republican Victor Marx / George Washington Markert ☐	Democratic Miles Walsh ☐	
Democratic Phil Weiser / Lesley Dahlkemper ☐	Unaffiliated Moni Grushkin ☐	
American Constitution Stephen T. Hamilton / James K Treibert ☐	County Assessor (Vote for One)	
Libertarian Eric Mulder / Wayne Harlos ☐	Democratic Carrie Woodson ☐	
Unity Jeff Peckman / T.J. Cole ☐	County Sheriff (Vote for One)	
Approval Voting Erik Underwood / Frank Atwood ☐	Democratic Sean Smith ☐	
Unaffiliated Greg Lopez / Taralyn Romero ☐	County Surveyor (Vote for One)	
Secretary of State (Vote for One)	Republican Daryl Z Crites ☐	
Democratic Amanda Gonzalez ☐	County Coroner (Vote for One)	
Republican James Wiley ☐	Democratic Trever Nyx ☐	
Libertarian Sean Vadney ☐	Republican Cathy Lynn Seibel ☐	
American Constitution Amanda Campbell ☐	Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)	
Forward Celeste Landry ☐	Colorado Supreme Court Justice	
State Treasurer (Vote for One)	Shall Justice William W. Hood, III of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐	
Democratic Jeff Bridges ☐	Colorado Court of Appeals Judge	
Republican Kevin Grantham ☐	Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? YES ☐ NO ☐	
Libertarian Jodie Barr ☐		
Approval Voting Marilee Langner Sturgis ☐		

Mark both sides of the ballot

State Ballot Measures

Amendment 84 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution requiring a voter to sign and include the last four digits of their social security number or their Colorado driver's license or identification card number on the outside of their mail ballot for any federal or state election, and, in connection therewith, allowing the voter to correct missing or incorrect information, including with alternative forms of identification; and prohibiting a county clerk and recorder from counting the mail ballot unless the problem is fixed?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Amendment 85 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning ballot question language, and, in connection therewith, requiring all state and local ballot questions to be written in plain language and at no more than an 8th grade reading level and prohibiting a state statute from requiring language that conflicts with these requirements in ballot questions for citizen-initiated measures?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Amendment 86 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning congressional redistricting, and, in connection therewith, reenacting the current process for congressional redistricting in the Colorado Constitution and prohibiting modifications to a final map unless at least three public meetings are held, the modifications do not have the effect of dividing communities of interest or purposefully favoring one political party, and are approved by the congressional redistricting commission and the Colorado Supreme Court?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Amendment 87 (CONSTITUTIONAL)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$2.7 BILLION ANNUALLY, IN ORDER TO INCREASE OR IMPROVE LEVELS OF PUBLIC SERVICES, INCLUDING K-12 PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATION, HEALTH CARE, AND EARLY CHILD CARE AND EDUCATION SERVICES, BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REPEALING EXISTING LAW AND CREATING NEW LAW TO REPLACE THE UNIFORM STATE INCOME TAX RATE WITH A GRADUATED INCOME TAX STRUCTURE, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AMENDING THE TAXPAYER'S BILL OF RIGHTS TO ELIMINATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR ALL TAXABLE NET INCOME TO BE TAXED AT ONE RATE WITH NO ADDED TAX ON INCOME; ESTABLISHING VARIOUS INCOME TAX RATES BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF TAXABLE INCOME EARNED BY INDIVIDUALS, ESTATES, TRUSTS, AND CORPORATIONS, WHILE MAINTAINING THE CURRENT 4.4% TAX ON INCOME FROM THE SALE OF A PRINCIPAL RESIDENCE, WHICH WILL RESULT IN THE ESTIMATED CHANGE IN INCOME TAXES OWED BY INDIVIDUALS AS IDENTIFIED IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE; AND AUTHORIZING THE STATE TO RETAIN AND SPEND ANY INCREASED REVENUE FROM THE NEW TAX STRUCTURE, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, TO SUPPLEMENT CURRENT LEVELS OF FUNDING FOR K-12 PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATION, HEALTH CARE, AND EARLY CHILD CARE AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS?

Change in Income Taxes Owed by Income Category

Income Categories	Current Average Income Tax Owed	Proposed Average Income Tax Owed	Proposed Change in Average Income Tax Owed if Passed + or -
\$25,000 or less	\$59	\$50	-\$9
\$25,001 - \$50,000	\$751	\$632	-\$119
\$50,001 - \$100,000	\$1,877	\$1,666	-\$210
\$100,001 - \$200,000	\$4,126	\$3,828	-\$298
\$200,001 - \$500,000	\$9,344	\$9,019	-\$325
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	\$19,288	\$18,963	-\$325
\$1,000,001 - \$2,000,000	\$29,432	\$34,196	+\$4,764
\$2,000,001 - \$5,000,000	\$41,196	\$55,110	+\$13,914

Income categories use adjusted gross Income reported to the federal Internal Revenue Service.

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

State Ballot Measures

Proposition NN (STATUTORY)

Shall state investment in K-12 public education increase two percent each year for the next ten years, with investments used to increase teacher pay, improve teacher retention, lower class sizes, and increase access to career and technical courses, without raising taxes but instead funded by raising the annual limit on state fiscal year spending only by the amount spent on public K-12 education as a voter-approved revenue change, and requiring an annual publicly released, independent audit to show how the new investments are spent?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Proposition 132 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning criminal penalties for fentanyl and certain synthetic opioids, and, in connection therewith, increasing the felony classifications of drug-related crimes for distribution, manufacturing, dispensing, sale, or possession of fentanyl and certain synthetic opioids; creating mandated treatment for certain drug felony violations based on possession amount; and changing sentencing provisions to narrow or eliminate exemptions for crimes related to fentanyl and certain synthetic opioids and drug-related deaths?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Proposition 133 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes modifying existing law concerning human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude, and, in connection therewith, creating new law expanding human trafficking of a minor for sexual servitude to include knowingly trading anything of monetary value to buy or sell sexual activity with a minor and increasing the penalty to be life in prison without parole or release?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Proposition 134 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes creating new law restricting participation in all K-12 and collegiate school sports based on the participant's sex as determined by certain aspects of their biological reproductive system, and, in connection therewith, requiring a school, institution of higher education, or athletic association to designate each school or intramural athletic team or sport as male, female, or coeducational; only allowing participants to compete on the team or sport of their designated sex or to compete on a coeducational team; creating an exception to allow a female to participate on a male-designated team or sport if there is no female team available; prohibiting a government entity, licensing or accrediting organization, or athletic association from entertaining a complaint, opening an investigation, or taking other adverse action against a school for maintaining separate teams or sports for females; and providing the commissioner of education with the authority to enforce the proposed initiative for K-12 school districts?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Proposition 135 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes modifying existing law by prohibiting surgery on a minor for the purpose of altering the minor's biological sex characteristics, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting any health-care professional or other person from knowingly performing, prescribing, administering, or providing any surgery to a minor for the purpose of altering the minor's biological sex characteristics and prohibiting the use of state or federal funds, Medicaid reimbursement, or insurance coverage to pay for this type of surgery?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Proposition 136 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes capping the state income tax rate at 4.4% of federal taxable income for individuals and corporations?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

State Ballot Measures

Proposition 137 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes creating new law to increase water and land conservation funding without raising taxes, and, in connection therewith, through a voter-approved revenue change, allowing the state to keep and spend a portion of revenue from the state sales tax on sporting goods and equipment to conserve and protect Colorado's water, land, and forests, prevent wildfires, support outdoor recreation training and activities, and reduce revenue spent on these conservation purposes if necessary to preserve funding for certain tax credits?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

Special District Ballot Measures

Durango West Metropolitan District No. 1 Ballot Issue No. 6A

SHALL DURANGO WEST METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 DEBT BE INCREASED \$2,000,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT MORE THAN \$3,500,000 AND SHALL DURANGO WEST METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 TAXES BE INCREASED \$210,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS, INCLUDING CONTRACTS, ISSUED OR INCURRED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING, REIMBURSING, OR FINANCING ALL OR ANY PART OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, RELOCATING, INSTALLING, COMPLETING, REPAIRING, REPLACING AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING, WITHIN OR WITHOUT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT, STREET AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS, TOGETHER WITH ALL NECESSARY, INCIDENTAL, AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, LAND, AND EASEMENTS, SUCH DEBT TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT IN EXCESS OF 6.00% PER ANNUM, SUCH INTEREST TO BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND WHICH MAY COMPOUND PERIODICALLY AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE DISTRICT BOARD, SUCH DEBT TO BE SOLD IN ONE OR MORE SERIES AT A PRICE ABOVE, BELOW OR EQUAL TO THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH DEBT ON SUCH CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE DEBT PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF PREMIUM IN AN AMOUNT NOT IN EXCESS OF 3% OF THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT BEING REDEEMED, TO BE PAID FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE MONEYS OF THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING THE PROCEEDS OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED ON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF ANY SUCH DEBT AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, ANY OTHER REVENUE USED TO PAY SUCH DEBT, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

YES / FOR

NO / AGAINST

